



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,901	07/10/2003	Mark Vandeventer Dunkle	AM 7134	4077
45401 7590 10/16/2009 APPLIED MATERIALS/BSTZ BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040				
EXAMINER				
SAEED, USMAAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2166				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/16/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/617,901

Applicant(s)

DUNKLE, MARK VANDEVERT

Examiner

USMAAN SAEED

Art Unit

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-6, 8, 9, 15, 17, 18, 21, 25-27, 29, 30, 36 and 44-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-6, 8-9, 15, 17-18, 21, 25-27, 29-30, 36, 44-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/11/2009 and 08/11/2009
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/11/2009 has been entered.

Further Applicant's response to the non-compliant amendment, filed 07/22/2009 is also acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Applicants' Information Disclosure Statements, filed on 05/11/2009, 08/11/2009 and 10/05/2009 have been received, entered and considered. See attached form PTO-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 17-18, 21, 25-26, 45-52, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Obradovic et al. (Obradovic hereinafter)** (U.S. PG Pub No. 2002/0107581 A1), in view of **Bailey et al. (Bailey hereinafter)** (U.S. Patent No 6,820,076).

With respect to claim 1, **Obradovic** teaches **a method of storing information in a database to characterize attributes outputted by different classes of equipment, comprising:**

“storing in a first database table of a database memory device a plurality of attribute data records, wherein storing each attribute data record includes” as the stress-test database may further include: a parsing table storing information relating to parsing of equipment-specific data received as a result of the stress test; said parsing table being associated with said equipment data entity to permit the equipment-specific data to be parsed into a more consistent format suitable for storage by said result data entity (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0031 and Figure 4).

Examiner interprets the storage of equipment specific data as storage of attribute data records. This equipment specific data is being stored on the memory device storing the database 40.

“storing in that record a first field identifying a class of equipment to which remaining fields in the record pertain” as the equipment table 520 may include the following information items or fields: equipment brand identifier, equipment brand name, equipment type identifier, equipment type description and other information items that

are used to uniquely identify each piece of test and communication equipment (Obradovic Paragraph 0142, 0154).

Examiner interprets equipment brand and type as defining class of equipment. Further product table 410 storing product group and product line could also be interpreted as identifying a class of equipment.

“storing in that record a second field identifying an attribute whose value is outputted by the class of equipment identified by the first field of that record, wherein said attribute is a sensor measurement or operating parameter of said class of equipment identified by said first field” as the modules may also include sensors or other devices for measuring parameters of the module and the controller may receive passive test measurement values from these sensors. In this way, a passive test of the modules may be performed independently of and simultaneous with the active testing. The results of the active test and the passive test measurement values for each of the modules are associated with the module and stored in a database (Obradovic Paragraph 0020).

These lines teach sensor measurements associated with the module/equipment is being stored in a database.

“storing in that record a third field specifying an ID which the class of equipment identified by the first field of that record assigns to the attribute identified by the second field of that record” as the equipment table 520 may include the following data entities: a equipment brand data entity 522, equipment type data entity 524 (optional particularly if the each brand has only one type), and the equipment

usage data entity 526 each of which may include fields for ID, name and description (e.g. brand data entity 522 has an equipment brand ID which may be used as a key, equipment brand name and equipment brand description fields). Equipment brand entity 522 is associated with equipment type data entity 524 in order to positively identify the equipment (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0144, 0154).

Examiner interprets the field for equipment brand ID as the claimed ID of class of equipment.

“storing in a second database table that is a child table of the first database table a plurality of subordinate data records, wherein storing each subordinate data record includes” as the design of database 400 includes four main data entities: the product table 410, the result table 430, the process table 450, and the equipment command & communication table 500. The major data associations relate the product table 410 to the result table 430; and the result table 430 to the process table 450; and the result table 430 to the equipment command & communication table 500 (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0137). The result table 430 may include the following data entities: result format data entity 432, result value data entity 434 and process-result value data entity 436. The result format data entity 432 stores result formatting and processing information such as result ID, result description, max decimal digits, sort order, etc. The result value 434 stores the actual test result value, a pass/fail result, test run ID, and result ID (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0148 and 0150).

Examiner interprets the result table as a second database table and a child table of table 500, which contains more fields.

“using the first field, second field, third field, fourth field and subordinate fields of an attribute data record, which in combination define a communications interface specification by a diagnostic apparatus to retrieve distinct attribute information from a distinct class of equipment” as the equipment command & communication table 500 permits the AMS controller 100 to send commands to, receive data from and otherwise communicate with various different types of test and communication equipment including the variety of test equipment 25 and the respective communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Each type of test and communication equipment may expect a different protocol, command, syntax, line rate, etc depending upon the equipment brand, model, release, etc. One of the key advantages of the inventive system is the ability to easily communicate with any such equipment and the database 400 facilitates such communication by providing the appropriate protocols, syntax, commands, etc for the particular type of equipment being utilized (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0141).

These lines teach that appropriate commands, protocols are being sent by the controller to retrieve data from various different kinds of equipment by using communication equipments and the communication interface equipments.

Obradovic teaches the elements of claim 1 such as measurement values received from sensors on the test equipments, as noted above but does not explicitly disclose **“specifying conversion parameters that define a conversion of the value of the attribute identified in the second field into physical units of measurement”** and **“the subordinate fields including a min subordinate field that identifies a**

minimum physical value that can be output for the attribute, a max subordinate field that identifies a maximum physical value that can be output for the attribute, and a units subordinate field that identifies physical units in which physical values output for the attribute are expressed.”

However, **Bailey** discloses **“specifying conversion parameters that define a conversion of the value of the attribute identified in the second field into physical units of measurement”** as a **part_id** field may contain a unique number corresponding to each item. Thus the **part_id** field may be the primary key of this table. A **part_number** field may contain the number that the item is given from the manufacturer. A unit of measure field identifies the unit of measure used to describe the item (e.g., feet, inches, centimeters, degrees, etc.) (**Bailey** Col 13, Lines 29-48). The first seventeen items 204 have attribute values that are shown in English format and the remaining items 206 are shown in metric format. Selecting "Metric" button 200 will convert English format values to Metric, as shown in FIG. 9G. This is done by changing the conversion value in **part_char** table 22e from some English indicator like "in" for inches to a metric indication like "mm" for millimeters. Similarly, selecting the "English" button 202 will convert metric format values to English, as shown in FIG. 9H. This action would undo the previous change to the conversion field of **part_char** table 22e from "mm" to "in" (**Bailey** Col 24, Lines 10-21).

Therefore these lines teach storing units of measurement (such as feet, inches, degrees, etc) for different items/parts which could further be converted from English format to Metric format or vice versa.

“the subordinate fields including a min subordinate field that identifies a minimum value that can be output for the attribute, a max subordinate field that identifies a maximum value that can be output for the attribute,” as for each attribute, an "Equal to" column 122, a "Minimum" column 124, and a "Maximum" column 126 are provided. More or fewer columns may be included as appropriate, such as "Greater than" and "Less than" columns for example. As shown in FIG. 8B, upon selection of an example cell 128 or arrow 130 in the "Equal to" 122 column, a pull-down selection menu 132 with all acceptable attribute values may appear, as illustrated in FIG. 8C. Pull-down selection menu 132 may be enabled using the char_valid_values field in keyword_char table 22c. Each char_valid_value cell contains a list of all valid attribute values (e.g., 1.000, 2.000, etc.) for the corresponding attribute (e.g., shape) (Bailey Col 21, Lines 27-38 and Figure 8D). These lines teach fields such as (equal to, minimum, maximum, etc.) which store values accordingly as shown in figure 8. **“and a units subordinate field that identifies physical units in which physical values output for the attribute are expressed”** as (Bailey Figure 3A, reference numeral 22d). Reference numeral 22d contains a field, which identifies the units of measure for a specific item/part/equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Bailey's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic** to provide an efficient and user-friendly graphical user interface (GUI) used for interacting with its cataloging, procurement, and

other components and to provide a display of all appropriate attributes found for a specific part/item.

Claim 17 is same as claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

Claim 21 is same as claim 1 except that it recites the claimed invention as an apparatus and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

Claim 45 is same as claim 1 except that it recites the claimed invention as a computer readable data storage medium with executable instructions and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

With respect to claim 4, **Obradovic** teaches “**wherein, for each attribute data record, the ID stored in the third field uniquely specifies a command such that, in response to the class of equipment stored in the first field receiving said command, said class of equipment outputs the attributes stored in the second field**” as the stress test results may be associated with the various products, the results may be mapped against product-specific test criteria, and generic commands may be translated to product-specific commands (**Obradovic** Abstract). These lines teach that results are mapped/associated with different products and product specific commands are being used for collection of data.

Claim 25 is same as claim 4 except that it recites the claimed invention as an apparatus and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

With respect to claim 5, **Obradovic** teaches **"wherein, for at least one attribute data record, the step of storing the second field further includes the step of: storing a fifth field identifying a position of a chamber connected to the class of equipment identified in the first field"** as if the database is used with the virtual oven invention discussed above, it is advantageous to further include a virtual oven data entity storing information relating to one or more virtual ovens that may be utilized to conduct the stress test. More particularly, the process data entity may include: a process information item storing information relating to stress test process identity and test process description; a process test run data entity storing information relating to stress test process identity, virtual oven identity and stress test process start/stop time(s); and a virtual oven data entity storing information relating to virtual oven identity, virtual oven description and virtual oven location, said process test run data entity relating said virtual oven data entity to said process information item in order to permit functional associations between virtual ovens, stress test processes, and process-test runs (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0032).

Claim 26 is same as claim 5 except that it recites the claimed invention as an apparatus and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

With respect to claim 18, **Obradovic** teaches “**wherein, for at least one of the attribute data records, the parameter stored in the third field specifies at least one of a range of physical values**” as the test criteria table may include a limit type information item 462 (e.g. fixed limit range, percentage range, delta range), a run limit value information item 464 (e.g. storing actual limits against which the results are tested for pass/fail according to the type of limit), a test run information item 466 (to identify the test run process, the serial number of the product being tested (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0032)).

Obradovic teaches the elements of claim 18 as noted above but does not explicitly disclose “**the conversion parameter stored in the third field specifies at least one of a scale factor.**”

However, **Bailey** discloses “**the conversion parameter stored in the third field specifies at least one of a scale factor**” as a `part_id` field may contain a unique number corresponding to each item. Thus the `part_id` field may be the primary key of this table. A `part_number` field may contain the number that the item is given from the manufacturer. *A unit of measure field identifies the unit of measure used to describe the item* (e.g., feet, inches, centimeters, degrees, etc.) (**Bailey** Col 13, Lines 29-48). The first seventeen items 204 have attribute values that are shown in English format and the remaining items 206 are shown in metric format. Selecting “Metric” button 200 will convert English format values to Metric, as shown in FIG. 9G. This is done by changing the conversion value in `part_char` table 22e from some English indicator like “in” for inches to a metric indication like “mm” for millimeters. Similarly, selecting the

"English" button 202 will convert metric format values to English, as shown in FIG. 9H. This action would undo the previous change to the conversion field of part_char table 22e from "mm" to "in" (**Bailey** Col 24, Lines 10-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Bailey's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic** to provide an efficient and user-friendly graphical user interface (GUI) used for interacting with its cataloging, procurement, and other components and to provide a display of all appropriate attributes found for a specific part/item.

Claim 52 is same as claim 18 except that it recites the claimed invention as a computer readable data storage medium with executable instructions and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

With respect to claim 46, **Obradovic** teaches **the method of claim 1, further comprising:**

"providing a first manufacturing equipment" as (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0015, 0061, and 0065).

"identifying a first class of equipment to which the first manufacturing equipment belongs" as (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0142, 0154).

"retrieving from the first database table one of said attribute data records and from the second database table one of said subordinate data records such

that the first, second and third fields of the retrieved attribute data record respectively identify: (i) said first class of equipment, (ii) a first attribute, and (iii) a first ID” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141-0144).

“using the first ID to retrieve a value of the first attribute from the first manufacturing equipment” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0020, 0090 and 0141-0144).

The equipments brand/ID is being used to uniquely identify each piece of test/measurements and communication equipments.

With respect to claim 47, **Obradovic teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising:**

“providing a first manufacturing equipment” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0015, 0061, and 0065).

“identifying a first class of equipment to which the first manufacturing equipment belongs” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0142, 0154).

“retrieving from the database memory device one of said attribute data records and one of said subordinate data records such that the first, second and third fields of the retrieved attribute data record respectively identify: (i) said first class of equipment, (ii) a first attribute, and (iii) a first command” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141-0144).

“sending the first command to the first manufacturing equipment” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0145-0147).

“wherein the first manufacturing equipment outputs a value of the first attribute in response to sending the first command” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0020, 0090 and 0146-0147).

The measurements values are being received and stored in response to the commands sent by use of different protocol to the modules under test.

With respect to claim 48, **Obradovic teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:**

“providing a first manufacturing equipment having a plurality of physical communications interfaces for outputting attribute data” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141, 0080, 0107).

“identifying a first class of equipment to which the first manufacturing equipment belongs” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0142, 0154).

“retrieving from the database memory device one of said attribute data records and one of said subordinate data records such that the first, second and third fields of the retrieved attribute data record respectively identify: (i) said first class of equipment, (ii) a first attribute, and (iii) a first ID that identifies a first one of said physical communications interfaces” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141-0144).

“receiving a value of the first attribute from the first physical communications interface” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141, 0080, 0107).

These paragraphs teach that database 400 is specifying/providing appropriate protocols and interfaces such as GPIB, Ethernet, and RS232 for different equipments to receive measurements values.

With respect to claim 49, **Obradovic teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:**

“providing a first manufacturing equipment having a plurality of signal lines for outputting attribute data” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0090 and figure 5).

“identifying a first class of equipment to which the first manufacturing equipment belongs” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0142, 0154).

“retrieving from the database memory device one of said attribute data records and one of said subordinate data records such that the first, second and third fields of the retrieved attribute data record respectively identify: (i) said first class of equipment, (ii) a first attribute, and (iii) a first ID that identifies a first address transmitted by the first manufacturing equipment when it transmits the first attribute” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141-0144 and 0153).

“receiving attribute data from the first manufacturing equipment” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0145-0147).

“using the first ID to locate a value of the first attribute within the attribute data received from the first manufacturing equipment” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141-0144 and 0153).

These paragraphs teach that test criteria table includes test run information which identifies the test run process, the serial number of the product being tested and the slot ID specifies ones of the multiple slots used for the testing purposes. The slot information further specifies equipments rack and shelf in which the slot is found. Therefore the examiner interprets the slot ID as identifying the address of the equipment being tested.

With respect to claim 50, **Obradovic** teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

“providing a first manufacturing equipment having a plurality of signal lines for outputting attribute data” as (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0090 and figure 5).

“identifying a first class of equipment to which the first manufacturing equipment belongs” as (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0142, 0154).

“retrieving from the database memory device one of said attribute data records and one of said subordinate data records such that the first, second and third fields of the retrieved attribute data record respectively identify: (i) said first class of equipment, (ii) a first attribute, and (iii) a first ID that identifies a first offset that specifies a position of the first attribute within a frame of data transmitted by the first manufacturing equipment” as (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0141-0144 and 0153, 0032).

“receiving attribute data from the first manufacturing equipment” as (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0145-0147).

“using the first offset to locate a value of the first attribute within the attribute data received from the first manufacturing equipment” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0141-0144 and 0153, 0032).

These paragraphs teach that test criteria table includes test run information which identifies the test run process, the serial number of the product being tested and the slot ID specifies ones of the multiple slots used for the testing purposes. The slot information further specifies equipments rack and shelf in which the slot is found. Therefore the examiner interprets the slot ID as an offset which identifies the position/address of the equipment being tested from where the first attribute is being transmitted.

With respect to claim 51, **Obradovic** teaches **“wherein the one or more subordinate fields include at least one of an attribute name field, an attribute chamber model field and a read/write field” as (Obradovic Paragraphs 0144, 0151, 0032).**

With respect to claim 56, **Obradovic** teaches **“storing in a third database table that is a child table of the first database table a plurality of additional subordinate data records, wherein storing each additional subordinate data record includes: storing in the subordinate data record one or more subordinate fields that are subordinate to the second field of an attribute data record, the one or more subordinate fields including at least one of a chamber position subordinate field**

or a chamber model subordinate field” as (Obradovic Paragraph 0032). In this paragraph examiner interprets process table as the third table further storing information relating to the oven identity and the location of the oven. Examiner interprets the location of the oven as the chamber position.

4. Claims 6, 8-9, 27 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Obradovic et al.** (U.S. PG Pub No. 2002/0107581 A1), in view of **Bailey et al.** (U.S. Patent No 6,820,076) as applied to claims 1, 4, 5, 17-18, 21, 25-26, 45-52, and 56 above, in view of **Robert C. Beauchesne** (Beauchesne hereinafter) (U.S. Patent No 5,777,876).

With respect to claim 6, **Obradovic** teaches equipments brand, model and release but does not explicitly teaches **“wherein, for each attribute data record, the first field identifies at least one model of equipment and a version of equipment.”**

However, **Beauchesne** teaches **“wherein, for each attribute data record, the first field identifies at least one model of equipment and a version of equipment”** as the product main fields also includes a 4 digit product version field for storing information coded value specifying the manufacturing version of the board indicating the particular assembly line (equipment complement) on which the board will be manufactured (e.g. A, B). A Generic name field is used for storing information which may describe the product in generic terms and this is especially useful in situations

where a particular product is associated with a specific model or feature name: Taurus, Legend, etc (**Beauchesne** Col 5, Lines 62-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Beauchesne's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic and Bailey** to manage and control process information pertaining to a variety of different equipments manufactured on a number of different manufacturing lines (**Beauchesne** Col 1, Lines 66-67 & Col 2, Lines 1-2) by having different versions of an equipment.

Claim 27 is same as claim 6 except that it recites the claimed invention as an apparatus and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

With respect to claim 8, **Obradovic** teaches equipments brand, model and release but does not explicitly teaches, “**storing a first subordinate field that identifies a model of equipment**” and “**storing a second subordinate field that identifies a version of the model of equipment identified in the first subordinate field.**”

However, **Beauchesne** discloses “**storing a first subordinate field that identifies a model of equipment**” and “**storing a second subordinate field that identifies a version of the model of equipment identified in the first subordinate field**” as the product main fields also includes a 4 digit product version field for storing information coded value specifying the manufacturing version of the board indicating the

particular assembly line (equipment complement) on which the board will be manufactured (e.g. A, B). A Generic name field is used for storing information which may describe the product in generic terms and this is especially useful in situations where a particular product is associated with a specific model or feature name: Taurus, Legend, etc (**Beauchesne** Col 5, Lines 62-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Beauchesne's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic and Bailey** to manage and control process information pertaining to a variety of different equipments manufactured on a number of different manufacturing lines (**Beauchesne** Col 1, Lines 66-67 & Col 2, Lines 1-2) by having different versions of an equipment.

Claim 29 is same as claim 8 except that it recites the claimed invention as an apparatus and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

With respect to claim 9, **Obradovic and Bailey** do not explicitly teach “**wherein, for at least one attribute data record, storing the first field includes: storing first and second subordinate fields that collectively identify at least one of a range of versions of an equipment model and a range of revision dates of the equipment model.**”

However, **Beauchesne** discloses “**storing first and second subordinate fields that collectively identify at least one of a range of versions of an equipment**

model and a range of revision dates of the equipment model” as other fields include a 10 digit current revision field, a 10 digit previous revision field, a 40 digit comment text field, a 8 digit source locating field and a 12 digit file date field. The revision field is used for storing a coded value designating the most recent revision made to any of the steps associated with the product. The previous revision field is used for storing a coded value designating the previous change (**Beauchesne** Col 6, Lines 12-19 and figure 2b). Different revisions give different versions. Therefore the range of revisions gives the range of versions.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Beauchesne's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic and Bailey** to manage and control process information pertaining to a variety of different equipments manufactured on a number of different manufacturing lines (**Beauchesne** Col 1, Lines 66-67 & Col 2, Lines 1-2) by having different versions of an equipment.

Claim 30 is same as claim 9 except that it recites the claimed invention as an apparatus and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

5. Claims 15 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Obradovic et al.** (U.S. PG Pub No. 2002/0107581 A1), in view of **Bailey et al.** (U.S. Patent No 6,820,076) as applied to claims 1, 4, 5, 17-18, 21, 25-26, 45-52, and 56

above, in view of **Guillermo Rudolfo Chacon (Chacon hereinafter)** (U.S. Patent No. 6,128,588).

With respect to claim 15, **Obradovic and Bailey** do not explicitly teach **“the attribute identified in the step of storing the first second field is a measurement of a process being performed in a semiconductor fabrication process chamber and an operating condition of a process being performed in a semiconductor fabrication process chamber.”**

However, **Chacon** teaches **“the attribute identified in the step of storing the first second field is a measurement of a process being performed in a semiconductor fabrication process chamber and an operating condition of a process being performed in a semiconductor fabrication process chamber”** as wafer fabrication, for example, involves complex dynamic production systems. The measurement of their capacity and performance such as lead-time and wafer output are not accurate enough if a solution capable of modeling the dynamic fabrication conditions and variance in the system is not used (**Chacon** Col 1, Lines 47-52). The present invention relates to an integrated characterization and scheduling system for fabrication production systems such as wafer fabrication. In particular, the present invention is directed to a machine tact (time standard) modeling system for use with a manufacturing execution system. According to the present invention, a method and system for creating customized machine tact information includes defining time standards as a function of process parameter and equipment parameters. For example,

if a process parameter such as temperature, pressure, etc. and an equipment parameter such as equipment brand name, model, etc. are entered, the system creates and suggests the time standard to use for those times that are not likely to have large variations (**Chacon** Col 2, Lines 17-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Chacon's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic and Bailey** to provide a production model that achieves desired fabrication performance measures such as wafer output and reduced cycle time by allowing a user to create and manage production models by use of a graphical user interface.

Claim 36 is same as claim 15 except that it recites the claimed invention as an apparatus and is rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Obradovic et al.** (U.S. PG Pub No. 2002/0107581 A1), in view of **Mchale et al.** (**Mchale** hereinafter) (U.S. PG Pub No 2001/0043568).

With respect to claim 44, **Obradovic** teaches a computer-readable data storage medium in which is stored instructions executable by a computer to perform a method for storing database records in a data storage device, wherein:

“the method comprises storing in a data storage device a plurality of attribute data records and a plurality of subordinate data records” as the stress-test database may further include: a parsing table storing information relating to parsing of equipment-specific data received as a result of the stress test; said parsing table being associated with said equipment data entity to permit the equipment-specific data to be parsed into a more consistent format suitable for storage by said result data entity (Obradovic Paragraph 0031 and Figure 4).

Examiner interprets the storage of equipment specific data as storage of attribute and subordinate data records. This equipment specific data is being stored on the storage device storing the database 40.

“said storing each attribute data record includes: storing in that record a first field identifying a class of equipment,” as the equipment table 520 may include the following information items or fields: equipment brand identifier, equipment brand name, equipment type identifier, equipment type description and other information items that are used to uniquely identify each piece of test and communication equipment (Obradovic Paragraph 0142).

Examiner interprets equipment brand and type as identifying class of equipment.

“wherein at least one class of equipment is manufacturing equipment having a plurality of physical communications interfaces for outputting attribute data formatted according to a plurality of communications protocols, the plurality of communications protocols including, at least a command-driven digital communications protocol” as the equipment command & communication table 500

permits the AMS controller 100 to send commands to, receive data from and otherwise communicate with various different types of test and communication equipment including the variety of test equipment 25 and the respective communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Each type of test and communication equipment may expect a different protocol, command, syntax, line rate, etc depending upon the equipment brand, model, release, etc. One of the key advantages of the inventive system is the ability to easily communicate with any such equipment and the database 400 facilitates such communication by providing the appropriate protocols, syntax, commands, etc for the particular type of equipment being utilized (Obradovic Paragraph 0141, 0080, 0107).

This invention is directed toward stress testing of the manufacturing flow for the producing reliable modules. Therefore examiner interprets the modules as being the manufacturing equipment. Paragraph 0141 discloses communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Further paragraphs 0080 and 0107 teach connections and protocols such as GPIB, Ethernet and RS232 that are used for outputting/routing measurements as desired.

Applicant also describes communication interfaces as conventional RS-232 serial port or a conventional Ethernet port on page 5, lines 13-18 of specification.

“storing in that record a second field identifying an attribute whose value is outputted by the class of equipment identified by the first field of that record,”
as the modules may also include sensors or other devices for measuring parameters of the module and the controller may receive passive test measurement values from these

sensors. In this way, a passive test of the modules may be performed independently of and simultaneous with the active testing. The results of the active test and the passive test measurement values for each of the modules are associated with the module and stored in a database (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0020).

Examiner interprets the sensor measurements associated with the module/equipment as the outputted value and is being stored in a database.

“storing in that record a third field specifying a communications protocol and a physical communications interface that is used for the attribute identified by the second field of that record, wherein the data storage device includes a separate record for each of the plurality of physical communications interfaces of the at least one class of equipment” as table 500 may also be used to communicate with a variety of modules 15 under test. As mentioned above, some of the testing regimes may require sending commands to the modules 15 under test to, for example, place them in a particular mode or operational state. If a variety of modules 15 are being tested having different protocols, syntax, commands, etc then utilizing the table 500 would simplify sending commands to and receiving data from such modules 15 (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0146). The database 400 facilitates such communication by providing the appropriate protocols, syntax, commands, etc for the particular type of equipment being utilized (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0141 and 0080).

These paragraphs teach that database 400 is specifying/providing appropriate protocols and interfaces such as GPIB, Ethernet, and RS232 for different equipments and further specifies that any other types of connections and protocol are also

incorporated herein. Therefore examiner interprets database 400 containing record for communication interfaces because database 400 is specifying/providing appropriate protocols and interfaces for different equipments.

“storing in that record a fourth field specifying an ID which the class of equipment identified by the first field of that record assigns to the attribute value identified by the second field of that record, wherein the ID identifies a first one of the plurality of signal lines” as the equipment table 520 may include the following data entities: a equipment brand data entity 522, equipment type data entity 524 (optional particularly if the each brand has only one type), and the equipment usage data entity 526 each of which may include fields for ID, name and description (e.g. brand data entity 522 has an equipment brand ID which may be used as a key, equipment brand name and equipment brand description fields). Equipment brand entity 522 is associated with equipment type data entity 524 in order to positively identify the equipment (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0144). The passive test measurements or results thereof are supplied by the modules 15 to the AMS controller 100 as indicated by the signal line connecting these elements. The AMS controller associates the test measurement and/or results with the particular module 15 being passively tested and supplies this data to the database 40 (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0090).

Examiner interprets the field for equipment brand ID as the claimed ID of class of equipment and the signal lines are being identified based on their connection to the modules under test, wherein the connection identifies the ID of the class of equipment.

“said storing each subordinate attribute data record includes:

storing in the subordinate data record one or more subordinate fields that are subordinate to the second field of an attribute data record” as the design of database 400 includes four main data entities: the product table 410, the result table 430, the process table 450, and the equipment command & communication table 500. The major data associations relate the product table 410 to the result table 430; and the result table 430 to the process table 450; and the result table 430 to the equipment command & communication table 500 (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0137). The result table 430 may include the following data entities: result format data entity 432, result value data entity 434 and process-result value data entity 436. The result format data entity 432 stores result formatting and processing information such as result ID, result description, max decimal digits, sort order, etc. The result value 434 stores the actual test result value, a pass/fail result, test run ID, and result ID (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0148).

Examiner interprets the result table containing result ID, result description, max decimal digits, sort order etc. as one or more subordinate fields.

“wherein the first field, second field, third field, fourth field and one or more subordinate fields, in combination, define communications interface specifications that enable a diagnostic apparatus to retrieve distinct attribute information from distinct classes of equipment” as the equipment command & communication table 500 permits the AMS controller 100 to send commands to, receive data from and otherwise communicate with various different types of test and communication equipment including the variety of test equipment 25 and the respective

communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Each type of test and communication equipment may expect a different protocol, command, syntax, line rate, etc depending upon the equipment brand, model, release, etc. One of the key advantages of the inventive system is the ability to easily communicate with any such equipment and the database 400 facilitates such communication by providing the appropriate protocols, syntax, commands, etc for the particular type of equipment being utilized (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0141).

These lines teach that appropriate commands, protocols are being sent by the controller to retrieve data from various different kinds of equipment by using communication equipments and the respective communication interface equipments.

Obradovic teaches the elements of claim 44 as noted above but does not explicitly teaches “**an analog communication protocol and a continuous streaming digital communication protocol.**”

However, **Mchale** discloses “**an analog communication protocol and a continuous streaming digital communication protocol**” as a selected subset of data lines 54 to output lines 72 in response to signals received from controller 80 using link 84. Each of the output lines 72 is coupled to an associated modem 160 which translates the information formatted in an *analog communication protocol*, such as XDSL, into an appropriate digital signal (**Mchale** Paragraph 0095). As shown, the communication server of FIGS. 18A and 18B includes *a plurality of line interface modules (LIMs)* 750 and a plurality of ADSL transceiver units 752 interconnected by dual analog buses 754. ADSL transceiver units 752 are connected to serial buses 756.

Each line interface module 750 includes intra-office protection circuits 758, hybrid circuits 760, switch 762 and detect circuit 764 (**Mchale** Paragraph 0095). These frames or packets could be Ethernet, ATM, HDLC, or any suitable data communications frame format. The off-hook detector in communication server 58 could also examine various protocols such as TCP/IP, PPP, or any other suitable network protocol or data stream (**Mchale** Paragraph 0056).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Mchale's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic** to provide efficient communication by employing digital or analog communication protocols on the data lines and further by using the analog/digital converter to convert the signals to a digital signal.

7. Claims 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Obradovic et al.** (U.S. PG Pub No. 2002/0107581 A1), in view of **Bailey et al.** (U.S. Patent No 6,820,076) as applied to claims 1, 4, 5, 17-18, 21, 25-26, 45-52, and 56 above further in view of **Mchale et al.** (**Mchale** hereinafter) (U.S. PG Pub No 2001/0043568).

With respect to claims 53 and 54, **Obradovic** teaches “**storing a first attribute data record includes storing first values of the first field, second field, third field, a fourth field and one or more subordinate fields that enable the diagnostic**

apparatus to communicate with a first class of equipment via a command-driven protocol” and “storing a second attribute data record includes storing second values of the first field, second field, third field, fourth field and one or more subordinate fields that enable the diagnostic apparatus to communicate with a second class of equipment via a digital interface” as the equipment command & communication table 500 permits the AMS controller 100 to send commands to, receive data from and otherwise communicate with various different types of test and communication equipment including the variety of test equipment 25 and the respective communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Each type of test and communication equipment may expect a different protocol, command, syntax, line rate, etc depending upon the equipment brand, model, release, etc. One of the key advantages of the inventive system is the ability to easily communicate with any such equipment and the database 400 facilitates such communication by providing the appropriate protocols, syntax, commands, etc for the particular type of equipment being utilized (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0141, 0080, 0107).

Paragraph 0141 discloses communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Further paragraphs 0080 and 0107 teach connections and protocols such as GPIB, Ethernet and RS232 that are used for outputting/routing measurements as desired.

Examiner interprets database 400 containing record for communication interfaces because database 400 is specifying/providing appropriate protocols and interfaces for different equipments.

Applicant also describes communication interfaces as conventional RS-232 serial port or a conventional Ethernet port on page 5, lines 13-18 of specification.

Obradovic teaches elements of claims 53 and 54 as noted above but does not explicitly teach **“storing a second attribute data record includes storing second values of the first field, second field, third field, fourth field and one or more subordinate fields that enable the diagnostic apparatus to communicate with a second class of equipment via a continuous streaming protocol” and “storing a first attribute data record includes storing first values of the first field, second field, third field, a fourth field and one or more subordinate fields that enable the diagnostic apparatus to communicate with a first class of equipment via an analog interface.”**

However, Mchale discloses **“storing a second attribute data record includes storing second values of the first field, second field, third field, fourth field and one or more subordinate fields that enable the diagnostic apparatus to communicate with a second class of equipment via a continuous streaming protocol” and “storing a first attribute data record includes storing first values of the first field, second field, third field, a fourth field and one or more subordinate fields that enable the diagnostic apparatus to communicate with a first class of equipment via an analog interface”** as a selected subset of data lines 54 to output lines 72 in response to signals received from controller 80 using link 84. Each of the output lines 72 is coupled to an associated modem 160 which translates the information formatted in an analog communication protocol, such as XDSL, into an appropriate

digital signal (**Mchale** Paragraph 0095). As shown, the communication server of FIGS. 18A and 18B includes a plurality of line interface modules (LIMs) 750 and a plurality of ADSL transceiver units 752 interconnected by dual analog buses 754. ADSL transceiver units 752 are connected to serial buses 756. Each line interface module 750 includes intra-office protection circuits 758, hybrid circuits 760, switch 762 and detect circuit 764 (**Mchale** Paragraph 0095). These frames or packets could be Ethernet, ATM, HDLC, or any suitable data communications frame format. The off-hook detector in communication server 58 could also examine various protocols such as TCP/IP, PPP, or any other suitable network protocol or data stream (**Mchale** Paragraph 0056).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Mchale's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic and Bailey** to provide efficient communication by employing digital or analog communication protocols on the data lines and further by using the analog/digital converter to convert the signals to a digital signal.

With respect to claim 55, **Obradovic** teaches “wherein at least one class of equipment is manufacturing equipment having a plurality of physical communications interfaces for outputting attribute data formatted according to a plurality of communications protocols, the plurality of communications protocols including, at least a command-driven digital communications protocol” as the equipment command & communication table 500 permits the AMS controller 100 to

send commands to, receive data from and otherwise communicate with various different types of test and communication equipment including the variety of test equipment 25 and the respective communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Each type of test and communication equipment may expect a different protocol, command, syntax, line rate, etc depending upon the equipment brand, model, release, etc. One of the key advantages of the inventive system is the ability to easily communicate with any such equipment and the database 400 facilitates such communication by providing the appropriate protocols, syntax, commands, etc for the particular type of equipment being utilized (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0141, 0080, 0107).

This invention is directed toward stress testing of the manufacturing flow for the producing reliable modules. Therefore examiner interprets the modules as being the manufacturing equipment. Paragraph 0141 discloses communication interface equipment (e.g. the communication server 55, Ethernet box 70, etc.). Further paragraphs 0080 and 0107 teach connections and protocols such as GPIB, Ethernet and RS232 that are used for outputting/routing measurements as desired.

Applicant also describes communication interfaces as conventional RS-232 serial port or a conventional Ethernet port on page 5, lines 13-18 of specification.

“storing in the record a third field specifying a communications protocol and a physical communications interface that is used for the attribute identified by the second field of that record, wherein the data storage device includes a separate record for each of the plurality of physical communications interfaces of

the at least one class of equipment” as table 500 may also be used to communicate with a variety of modules 15 under test. As mentioned above, some of the testing regimes may require sending commands to the modules 15 under test to, for example, place them in a particular mode or operational state. If a variety of modules 15 are being tested having different protocols, syntax, commands, etc then utilizing the table 500 would simplify sending commands to and receiving data from such modules 15 (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0146). The database 400 facilitates such communication by providing the appropriate protocols, syntax, commands, etc for the particular type of equipment being utilized (**Obradovic** Paragraph 0141 and 0080).

These paragraphs teach that database 400 is specifying/providing appropriate protocols and interfaces such as GPIB, Ethernet, and RS232 for different equipments and further specifies that any other types of connections and protocol are also incorporated herein. Therefore examiner interprets database 400 containing record for communication interfaces because database 400 is specifying/providing appropriate protocols and interfaces for different equipments.

Obradovic teaches the elements of claim 55 as noted above but does not explicitly teach **“an analog communication protocol and a continuous streaming digital communication protocol.”**

However, **Mchale** discloses **“an analog communication protocol and a continuous streaming digital communication protocol”** as a selected subset of data lines 54 to output lines 72 in response to signals received from controller 80 using link 84. Each of the output lines 72 is coupled to an associated modem 160 which

translates the information formatted in an analog communication protocol, such as XDSL, into an appropriate digital signal (**Mchale** Paragraph 0095). As shown, the communication server of FIGS. 18A and 18B includes a plurality of line interface modules (LIMs) 750 and a plurality of ADSL transceiver units 752 interconnected by dual analog buses 754. ADSL transceiver units 752 are connected to serial buses 756. Each line interface module 750 includes intra-office protection circuits 758, hybrid circuits 760, switch 762 and detect circuit 764 (**Mchale** Paragraph 0095). These frames or packets could be Ethernet, ATM, HDLC, or any suitable data communications frame format. The off-hook detector in communication server 58 could also examine various protocols such as TCP/IP, PPP, or any other suitable network protocol or data stream (**Mchale** Paragraph 0056).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Mchale's** teaching would have allowed **Obradovic and Bailey** to provide efficient communication by employing digital or analog communication protocols on the data lines and further by using the analog/digital converter to convert the signals to a digital signal.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In these claims applicant relies on the amended claims and not the original ones. See above rejections for response to arguments.

Claims must be given the broadest reasonable interpretation during examination and limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claims are not read into the claim (See M.P.E.P. 2111 [R-II]).

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to USMAAN SAEED whose telephone number is (571)272-4046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571)272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Usmaan Saeed
Patent Examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/617,901

Page 38

Art Unit: 2166

Art Unit: 2166

October 11, 2009

/Usmaan Saeed/

Examiner, Art Unit 2166